

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/974,727	10/09/2001	Geoffrey P. Coco	ONAI118121	8134
26389 - 7	590 06/07/2004		EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE			MISTRY, O N	EAL RAJAN
1420 FIFTH A SUITE 2800	VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, W	A 98101-2347		2173	

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1 4 1: 1: 1:		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Anti-us Community	09/974,727	COCO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	7.
4	O'Neal R Mistry	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 September 1997.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	·	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	lrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 1997  Applicant may not request that any objection to t  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[ he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a leading to the papplication from the leading to the leading to the papplication from the leading to the lea	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	🗖		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 2173

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This application has been examined.

Claim 1 is presented for examination.

## **Drawings**

The Examiner contends that the drawings submitted on September 23, 1997 are acceptable for the examination proceedings.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(2).

Regarding claim 1 line 2, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

Art Unit: 2173

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 15 of U.S. Patent No. 5,388,264. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims boths state input/output functions of the devices as graphical input/output controls, also states linking the selected transmit control to the selected at least one receive control, and by controlling the output functions of the output devices by input device.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2173

Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tobias, II et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,388,264)

In regards to claim 1, Tobias states easily programming the interoperability of computer components, input/output devices and the like, said method is implemented as a user interface on a host computer, said method comprising:

Representing input and output functions of the input and output devices as graphical input and output controls, respectively; (Fig. 6 and col. 10 lines 19-21)

Selecting an transmit controls respectively (Fig. 7 –10);

Selecting at least one recive control (Fig. 7 –10);

Linking the selected transmit control to the selected at least one receive control (col. 1 lines 59-64)

Controlling the output functions of the output devices by manipulating the input device corresponding to transmit control that is linked to the at least one receive control that corresponds to at least one input device. (Fig. 7 –10)

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art is a user friendly visual programming system.

Tobias, II et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,388,264).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to O'Neal R Mistry whose telephone number is (703) 305-2738. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 6pm.

Art Unit: 2173

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W Cabeca can be reached on (703)308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

O'Neal Mistry Assistant Patent Examiner Art Unit 2173 o'neal.mistry@uspto.gov

> RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173